UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,825	07/07/2004	Harald Hofmann	183PUS	8491
	7590 02/03/200 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN &	EXAMINER		
551 FIFTH AV SUITE 1210		GRAMLING, SEAN P		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10176	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/500,8	25	HOFMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			•	Art Unit				
		SEAN P.	GRAMLING	2875				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even. eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	R1 October 200	R					
·								
٥/ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15 and 17-27</u> is/are pending	g in the applica	tion.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15 and 17-27</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner						
•	•		Objected to by the	Examiner.				
.0/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/14/08</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/500,825 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Acknowledgment is made of Amendment filed October 31, 2008. Claims 3 and 16 are canceled. Claims 1, 4 and 22 are amended. Claims 1-2, 4-15 and 17-27 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8, 17-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by *Calon et al* (US 2002/0118538).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Calon teaches a lamp comprising at least one base (defined as the portion of the device surrounding housing 70) for connection to a luminaire-side lamp fitting; a plurality of LED elements 20, 20' spaced apart from the base and combined to form one module arranged on the base; and at least one non-LED lamp element 10 arranged on the base; wherein the LED elements in the module are aligned in a substantially longitudinal of the lamp (see Figure 1 and paragraphs [0024]-[0026]).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,825

Art Unit: 2875

5. Regarding claim 2, the module (defined as the part holding LED's 20, 20' which is secured to the base) is separately formed element and fixed to the base of the lamp (see Figure 1).

Page 3

- 6. Regarding claim 4, the LED elements 20, 20' in Calon are aligned essentially along a longitudinal axis of the lamp (see Figure 1).
- 7. Regarding claim 5, the LED elements 20, 20' in Calon are designed such that they can be dimmed and/or switched on or off (see paragraphs [0027]-[0029]).
- 8. Regarding claim 8, a bulb element 60 in Calon is provided which at least partially envelops the module (see Figure 1 and paragraph [0025]).
- 9. Regarding claim 17, the lamp element 10 in Calon has a fluorescent layer 17 (see Figure 1 and paragraph [0025]).
- 10. Regarding claim 18, the radiation emitted from the LED elements 20, 20' in Calon hits the fluorescent layer of the lamp element 10 (see Figure 1).
- 11. Regarding claim 19, multiple reflections take place between the fluorescent layer and the module in Calon (see Figure 1).
- 12. Regarding claim 20, the lamp element 10 in Calon is in the form of a compact fluorescent lamp or a high-pressure discharge lamp (see Figure 1 and paragraphs [0025] and [0028]).
- 13. Regarding claim 21, the lamp element 10 in Calon is designed such that it can be dimmed and/or switched on or off (see paragraphs [0027] and [0029]).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,825 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

14. Regarding claim 22, the lamp in Calon further comprises a bulb element 60 which at least partially envelops both the module having the LED element 20, 20' and the at least one lamp element 10 of the second type (see Figure 1).

- 15. Regarding claim 23, the lamp 10 in Calon is essentially symmetrical with respect to a central plane of the lamp (see Figure 1).
- 16. Regarding claim 24, the module in Calon is arranged centrally on the base (see Figure 1).
- 17. Regarding claim 27, the LED elements 20, 20' in Calon are each provided on one side of the module (see Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 20. Claims 6-7 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Calon* as applied to claim 1 above.
- 21. Regarding claim 6, *Calon* does not specify that the module be essentially light-permeable. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a light-permeable module in order to allow the light generated by LED elements 20, 20' to permeate in all directions.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,825

Art Unit: 2875

22. Regarding claim 7, *Calon* does not specify that the module be essentially reflective or light-scattering. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a reflective module in order to maximize the overall output of light generated by LED elements 20, 20'.

Page 5

- 23. Regarding claims 25 and 26, *Calon* does not specify the placement of two modules within lamp. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the modules 12 within the lamp in order to increase the illumination of the lamp, and since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.
- 24. Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calon as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Chan (US 2003/0021117).

 Regarding claims 9-15, Calon discloses a bulb element 60 surrounding the LED's 20, 20' and the second lamp element 10, but does not specify that the bulb element be made diffusive plastic material that contains fluorescent material capable of converting UV radiation emitted from the LED elements 20, 20' into visible light. However, Chan teaches a plastic bulb element 5 with diffusers made of fluorescent material 1 that would convert UV light components emitted from LED elements 2 into visible light (see Figure 2 and paragraphs [0023] and [0034]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the bulb element 10 in Calon with a plastic bulb element with fluorescent diffusers as taught by Chan in order to achieve additional white lighting through color blending and a uniform refraction of

Application/Control Number: 10/500,825 Page 6

Art Unit: 2875

light through the bulb element in all directions for environmental lighting (see *Chan*, paragraph [0013]). In regards to claims 11 and 12, *Chan* does not specify that the bulb element 5 be formed through plastic-injection molding and does not specify that the fluorescent diffusers 1 be either admixed to the bulb or part of the plastic. However, the method of forming the bulb element is not germane to the issue of patentability of the lamp itself and therefore has not been given patentable weight.

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed October 31, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's contention that the LED elements 20, 20' in the module of *Calon* are not "aligned in a substantially longitudinal direction of the lamp". The word "longitudinal" is defined as "extending in the direction of the length of a thing" (see www.dictionary.com).

Accordingly, Examiner respectfully submits that when the lamp module in *Calon* is positioned in the upright position as illustrated in Figure 1, the LED elements 20, 20' are aligned in a substantially longitudinal direction of the lamp in that they extend in the direction of the length (the x-axis) of the lamp. The rejection of independent claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by *Calon* is therefore maintained.

Additional arguments against the rejection of claims 2, 4-15 and 17-27 were not provided. Accordingly, the rejections of these claims are maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SEAN P. GRAMLING whose telephone number is (571)272-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean P Gramling Examiner Art Unit 2875

/SPG/ /Sharon E. Payne/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2875